

[REDACTED]

From: Everson, Gareth [REDACTED]
Sent: 31 January 2025 17:29
To: TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE
Subject: Objection to Transport and Work Act Order to the DfT for a new busway to the south east of Cambridge

CORPORATE

Dear Sirs

I, Gareth Everson, of [REDACTED] am writing to formally object to the "Application for a Transport and Work Act Order to the Department of Transport for a new Busway to the South East of Cambridge", as submitted by the Cambridge Greater Partnership (GCP) on 9 January 2025. The grounds for this objection are as follows:

- The construction of 5 miles of new road across open countryside is completely unnecessary to deliver the required improvements in transport. A similar scheme first raised in 2018 by the same applicant and building the bus lane adjacent to the A1307 and a 1 mile section of new road would solve the same issue at less cost (approximately £100m reduction).
- This 2018 scheme would also avoid building bridges over the Granta chalk stream and putting Hobson's conduit into a culvert. It would also stop the addition of a new road through a countryside park that my family and I use regularly.
- The 2018 scheme would avoid a detrimental impact to the countryside, local nature and ensure that local villages are not vulnerable to the likely future development should the current GCP scheme go ahead.
- Cycle routes have already been constructed or are under construction and include all the areas and connections noted in the new GCP scheme. This renders moot the use of cycling on the busway as a positive point to the new scheme.
- The new scheme's bus stops are in peculiar positions some outside the current edge of the villages and I cannot see that these will be used by villagers in the community, especially those of senior years as they will need to travel distance to get to the stop in the first place. To serve the villages sensibly, the stop should be somewhere central, this requirement is currently met by the regular Stagecoach buses and the train station at Shelford.
- The current GCP scheme is now out of date as since Covid, commuting habits have changed. Therefore, I would suggest that this scheme should be reviewed to ensure it is still required.

As such I would object to the current GCP scheme as currently planned.

Should the traffic alleviation project still be required I would suggest replacing it with the 2018 version, which is a more effective solution to achieve the intended outcome. As a taxpayer, or anyone sensible looking at value for money as one of the constraints of a project, I am shocked that a review has not been considered by the relevant parties involved.

Yours faithfully,

Gareth Everson
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]



Please read these warnings and restrictions:

This e-mail transmission is strictly confidential and intended solely for the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was addressed. It may contain legally privileged and/or CONFIDENTIAL information.

The unauthorised use, disclosure, distribution and/or copying of this e-mail or any information it contains is prohibited and could, in certain circumstances, constitute a criminal offence.

If you have received this e-mail in error or are not an intended recipient please inform 
") immediately by return e-mail or telephone 

 may collect, process and retain your personal information for its business purposes. For more information please see our [Privacy Policy](#).

We advise that in keeping with good computing practice the recipient of this e-mail should ensure that it is virus free. We do not accept responsibility for any virus that may be transferred by way of this e-mail.

E-mail may be susceptible to data corruption, interception and unauthorised amendment, and we do not accept liability for any such corruption, interception or amendment or any consequences thereof.

Calls to  may be recorded to enable  to carry out its regulatory responsibilities.

For more details on the  of companies click [here](#)





This email has originated from external sources and has been scanned by DfT's email scanning service.
