

From: [REDACTED]
To: [TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE](#)
Subject: RE: Upgrading Mossley Station, Tameside.
Date: 15 December 2025 14:33:29

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Good afternoon, Shenaz,

Please see postal address below:

Mrs Ruth Holland
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Best Regards,

Ruth Holland.

From: TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE <TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE@dft.gov.uk>
Sent: 15 December 2025 14:27
To: Ruth Holland [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Upgrading Mossley Station, Tameside.

OFFICIAL

Dear Madam,

Thank you for your email citing your objection to the above proposed scheme. In order to register your objection we require a postal address?

Kind regards
Shenaz Choudhary

OFFICIAL

From: Ruth Holland [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 December 2025 10:24
To: TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE <TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE@dft.gov.uk>
Cc: Milton St John's C.E. Primary <admin@milton-st-johns.tameside.sch.uk>
Subject: Upgrading Mossley Station, Tameside.

You don't often get email from ruth@airtecairsystems.ltd.uk. [Learn why this is important](#)

Good morning,

I am writing to formally object to the proposal to use Mill Lane, Mossley, Tameside, as a route for construction traffic during the upcoming works.

While I fully support efforts to improve our local transport infrastructure, I have serious concerns about the suitability and safety of directing heavy construction vehicles onto Mill Lane.

Mill Lane is simply not a safe or appropriate route for heavy vehicles. It is steep, narrow, and becomes one-way at its lower end. My children's school is situated directly on this road, and any increase in large-vehicle movements would pose a significant risk to the safety of children, families, staff, and local residents.

In addition to these dangers, there are several further reasons why Mill Lane must not be considered for construction traffic:

- Safeguarding concerns: Introducing heavy construction vehicles outside a school contradicts the duty of care owed to pupils and places them at unnecessary risk.
- Peak-time conflict: The school entrance is directly off Mill Lane, meaning any increase in heavy traffic would coincide with the busiest periods for children walking, cycling, and being dropped off.
- Physical constraints: Mill Lane is steep, narrow, and becomes one-way due to a low bridge. At the top of the lane there are blind spots where cars must edge forward at the steepest section to access Carrhill Lane—already a dangerous area for children crossing. These features make it impossible for large vehicles to use the road safely.
- Emergency access: Construction vehicles could obstruct or delay emergency services accessing the school and nearby homes.
- No safe passing places: The road width is insufficient for large vehicles to navigate without mounting pavements or reversing—neither of which is acceptable anywhere near a school.
- Existing congestion: Mill Lane already experiences heavy congestion during school drop-off and pick-up times. It cannot accommodate additional construction traffic without compromising safety.
- Health impact on children: Increased noise, vibration, and reduced air quality from heavy vehicles would affect classrooms, playgrounds, and outdoor learning areas.
- Impact on walking routes: Mill Lane forms part of the main safe walking route used by pupils. Construction activity would undermine this safe route and deter walking.
- Availability of safer alternatives: Access from Manchester Road provides a far more suitable and safer alternative for construction traffic.

For all of these reasons, I strongly urge you to remove Mill Lane from consideration as a construction traffic route. The risks, particularly to children, are too great, and safer, more practical alternatives exist.

Thank you for considering my objection. I trust that the safety of local families and pupils will be prioritised in the final decision.

Kind regards,

Ruth Holland.