

[REDACTED]

From: ben walsh <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 04 March 2025 14:52
To: TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE
Subject: Re: Objection to the 'CSET' Scheme

My postal address is;

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED].

Could I ask that you confirm that you have received this please?

Thank you.

Kind regards,

R.J.Walsh.

On Tuesday 4 March 2025 at 12:11:37 GMT, ben walsh <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Dear Sir/Madam,

I just wish to object to the 'CSET' Scheme.

It has not got any 'traction' at all, quite frankly.

You would have thought that the lessons would have been learnt with the Guided Busway, from Cambridge - Huntingdon...this doesn't follow a 'designated', 'co-ordinated' route, through Cambridge, so the traffic congestion is **still** there, particularly at the Catholic Church Junction, at the intersection of Gonville Place, Hills Road and Regent Street.

The railway has its 'part to play', and **should** be given priority.

When do you ever hear of problems regarding traffic congestion on the Railway?

You don't....because there isn't any!!

The railway is essential, and such a railway that would be borne out of a link to Haverhill, would be so welcomed...**not** a Guided Busway, which would be **going** past a rail route, already!

The railway is the way forward!!

I hope you will consider my objection.

Thank you.

Yours Faithfully,

R.J. Walsh.

This email has originated from external sources and has been scanned by DfT's email scanning service.
