

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Joanna Vincent](#)
Subject: New Brett Aggregates Public Access Strategy
Date: 08 March 2026 10:21:04

I am writing to voice my objection to the response from Brett Aggregates which contains their revised “ Access Strategy “ in relation to the application to quarry Ellenbrook Fields.

As a regular user of Ellenbrook Fields for walking and [REDACTED] [REDACTED] access open spaces safely is fundamental for us. I do not consider the proposal by Brett Aggregates does enough to preserve the existing access as it is today. For safe access my wife uses the existing old concrete runway (it is flat [REDACTED]). Brett Aggregates are proposing to reduce the amount of this concrete runway and replace it with gravel pathways and grass. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] In winter they will become nothing more that mud tracks and leave them heavily rutted when warmer weather returns, thereby increasing the danger of unsafe conditions for walking when one has mobility issues.

One of the delights when using Ellenbrook Fields for recreation is of course being able to exercise (I also use the field for running) in a very natural environment and be in a wildlife ambience which is very pleasant and thoroughly enjoyable (it is certainly good for a relaxing and wellbeing effect). The Proposals by Brett Aggregates do not contribute in any way whatsoever to preserving what is a rich environment for use by people. In fact, the proposal is quite contrary. I cannot imagine walking, running or just being in peaceful contemplation when you have to be mindful of crossings to navigate and being close to working vehicles.

The proposal by Bretts does nothing to preserve access to wildlife whilst the quarry is active, this proposal destroys that accessibility.

To reiterate, as a resident of Ellenbrook I object to the access strategy as proposed by Brett Aggregates.

Regards

Adrian King

Ellenbrook Resident

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]