

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Joanna Vincent](#)
Subject: Objection to Brett Aggregates' Revised Public Access Strategy – Ellenbrook Fields
Date: 14 March 2026 20:06:07

Dear Ms Vincent,

I am writing to object to the revised Public Access Strategy submitted by Brett Aggregates for the proposed quarrying on Ellenbrook Fields.

My objection relates specifically to public access across the park, as required by the Inspector. I live locally and rely on Ellenbrook Fields as a safe, direct, and accessible walking route for efficient travel and leisure.

Loss of a viable walking route

The existing concrete taxiway is the only surface that allows me to walk year-round without detours, mud, or safety concerns. It is wide, visible, and accessible, which makes it suitable in all weather. Under the new plans, only around 40% of this route would remain, and the replacement paths would be narrow, grass-based, and often unusable in winter, as the document itself illustrates.

Proposed routes are not “convenient, attractive or unfettered”

The Inspector was clear that cross-park access must remain convenient and unfettered. The new proposals fail to achieve this:

Many paths would run next to bunds, fencing, quarry workings, or heavy vehicle routes, which is neither attractive nor safe.

The narrow grass path between the lagoons would be impassable for months of the year, forcing long diversions.

Several routes would require navigating crossings and quarry traffic, which is not compatible with free movement, especially for pedestrians.

This contradicts local and national commitments to sustainable travel, and it places an unnecessary burden on residents who have relied on this route for decades.

Failure to integrate restored phases

Could Temporary Traffic Regulation Orders (TTROs) not be considered?

The positioning of the lagoons means that restored areas cannot be connected to the rest of the park until the very end of the quarry's life. This prolongs disruption and prevents meaningful access for many years, contrary to the expectations set out in the 2000 Agreement and MLP Policy 18(x).

For these reasons, I strongly object to the revised Public Access Strategy. It does not provide a workable or safe cross-park route for residents, and it significantly harms my ability to travel sustainably and independently.

Yours sincerely,
Krishan Chauhan



Sent from [Outlook for Android](#)