

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Joanna Vincent](#)  
**Subject:** Objection to Brett Aggregates Revised Public Access Strategy - Ellenbrook Fields  
**Date:** 14 March 2026 21:04:24

---

Dear Ms Vincent,

I am writing to object to Brett Aggregates' revised Public Access Strategy for Ellenbrook Fields, specifically on the grounds that it removes the only safe, reliable walking route across the park and replaces it with paths that will be unusable for large parts of the year.

The existing concrete taxiway is the only surface that allows me — and many other local residents — to walk across the park safely and consistently throughout the year. It is wide, visible, and weather-resilient, making it suitable for everyday walking, exercise, and general access to the wider area.

Under the revised plans, most of this route would be lost. The proposed alternatives are narrow grass or gravel paths that will not withstand winter conditions. We already see this on Ellenbrook Fields: grass paths become waterlogged, muddy, and impassable for months at a time.

The strategy relies heavily on grass-based paths, including the narrow route between the lagoons. These will inevitably wash out in winter, leaving no viable cross-park connection. This is not a hypothetical concern — it is the current reality on the site every year.

Replacing a durable, all-weather route with seasonally unusable paths does not meet the Inspector's requirement for "convenient, attractive and unfettered" access.

If these proposals go ahead, I will no longer be able to walk across the park. The loss of the taxiway and the unreliability of the replacement routes mean I would have no choice but to drive for journeys I currently make on foot.

This outcome directly contradicts local and national commitments to sustainable and active travel, and it undermines the ability of residents to access green space safely and independently.

The revised Public Access Strategy removes a vital, year-round walking route and replaces it with paths that will be unusable for significant periods. This forces residents into cars and undermines sustainable travel. For these reasons, I strongly object to the strategy in its current form.

Yours sincerely, **Georgia Meakes**