

From: [REDACTED]
Cc: [Joanna Vincent](#)
Subject: Subject: Objection to Brett Aggregates' New Public Access Strategy – Ellenbrook Fields
Date: 13 March 2026 19:13:07

Dear Ms Vincent,

I am writing to formally object to the revised Public Access Strategy submitted by Brett Aggregates in relation to the proposed quarrying on Ellenbrook Fields.

As a resident living very close to Ellenbrook Fields, this space is essential to my physical and mental health. I rely on the park daily for accessible exercise, fresh air, and a safe, open environment close to my home. The proposed changes would fundamentally undermine my ability to use the park in the way I depend on.

The Inspector at the original inquiry made clear that access across the park must be *“convenient, attractive and unfettered”* during the life of the quarry. The new strategy still fails to meet this requirement.

1. Proposed routes are neither attractive nor unfettered

The new paths run alongside bunds up to 4–5 metres high, quarry workings, lorry access roads and fenced industrial areas. As the consultation document states, *“Paths next to quarry workings and bunds... are neither attractive nor unfettered.” They restrict my line of sight and will make me feel less secure, and will likely mean I will have to travel by car to find an alternate, which is not good for the environment.*

2. The proposals reduce my ability to use the park for health and wellbeing

Ellenbrook Fields is close to my home and forms a vital part of my daily routine. I use it for walking, maintaining mobility, and supporting my overall health. The proposed diversions, fencing, machinery, and industrial surroundings will remove the freedom of movement that makes the park so important to me.

For someone who depends on regular outdoor activity for health reasons, these changes are not minor inconveniences – they represent a serious loss of access to essential green space.

3. The strategy does not meet the Inspector's requirements

The Secretary of State reopened the inquiry because the previous strategy failed to provide convenient cross-park access or timely integration of restored areas. The new strategy still does not resolve these issues. Residents will face years of disruption, diversions, and unsafe or unattractive routes, with no meaningful cross-park access until the quarry is almost fully

restored.

For these reasons, I strongly object to the revised Public Access Strategy. It does not protect the amenity of residents, does not provide safe or attractive access, and does not meet the standards set out by the Inspector.

Ellenbrook Fields is an irreplaceable part of my daily life and wellbeing. The proposed strategy would effectively remove my ability to use the park in the way I rely on, for many years.

I therefore urge the Inspector to reject the revised Public Access Strategy.

Alan Waksberg