From: [redacted]
To: Joanna Vincent
Subject: Brett Aggregates proposal for quarrying Ellenbrook Fields.
Date: 12 March 2026 16:22:26

Dear Ms Vincent,

Brett Aggregates have been issued with a Reg 25 request ie a formal demand for more information, because the initial evidence wasn't enough. Their updated 2023 assessment explicitly says it contains no new data ie essentially the exact same information is provided as a stand alone document. Surely when a planning authority tells this extraction company their data is lacking and they reply with essentially the exact same numbers, they need to be interrogated more deeply, and their integrity needs to be questioned.

The developer claims 80% of the park will remain open during the four decades of extraction, but their map shows Brett aggregates doesn't even own a huge chunk of that land. Some of it is literally designated for future housing development. Surely they are not being allowed to claim credit for land they don't/ wont own? Again, their integrity should be questioned.

The developer has not consulted residents since 2019 about how they could access the site and actually tries to argue that the absolute certainty of living next to a heavy industrial site for four decades is more healthy than a pending application. Exactly what data are Brett examining and how are they measuring this assessment?

Who will monitor the safety of the site for 40 years, its suitability for walkers of all ages and abilities that use it now and who will extract penalties from Brett if they do not conform to their contractual agreements (and what kind of penalties)?

If I were to walk there after extraction starts, for the rest of my lifetime I would be:
- not be able to walk from my house to the bluebell woods
- walking between bunds and screened off areas which will either be intimidating or my dog would surely find a way through
- have to endure the noise and dust of the industrial process
- unable to walk from my house to the University sports centre
- be unable to use the concrete slip road to encourage tiny tots to learn to cycle in a safe environment
- totally unable to enjoy the normal mental and physical health benefits of a walk in the countryside ie peace, quiet, clean air, surrounded by natural wildlife which has matured for about 25 years and be able to just wander as my dog chooses (as I can at the moment).

Surely, no person believes the claims that Brett makes about their work on this site being beneficial to my health, short term or long term!!!

This planning application should be turned down and if it goes ahead, sadly I shall avoid walking in this area again.

Regards Rita Burhouse
[redacted].

Sent from my iPad