

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 01 February 2025 08:33
To: TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE
Subject: Objection to Busway

I Stuart Newman of [REDACTED], am writing to make a formal objection to the "Application for a Transport and Work Act Order to the Department for Transport (DfT) for a new busway to the southeast of Cambridge", as submitted by the Cambridge Greater Partnership on 9 January 2025. The grounds for this objection are the following:

The construction of 5 miles of new road through open countryside is unnecessary to deliver improvements in transport. Similar transport and economic benefits can be achieved by building a version of the scheme first proposed by the applicant in 2018. This would involve building sections of bus lane adjacent to the A1307 and 1 mile of new road (busway).

This alternative would avoid building two bridges over the River Granta chalk stream and putting Hobson's Conduit chalk stream into a culvert.

This alternative would avoid creating a scar in the Gog Magog Hills landscape, one of the most important landscapes in the Cambridge area.

This alternative would avoid building a new road through a countryside park, to the detriment of its future users and nature.

This alternative would avoid severing fields close to villages making them vulnerable to future development and further harm to the countryside.

This alternative would better serve the planned expansion of the Cambridge Biomedical Campus and serve more of the Campus.

Cycle routes in this area are already under construction and include the Linton Greenway which will connect Cambridge to Babraham, Granta Park and Linton; and the Sawston Greenway that will connect Cambridge to Sawston. Stapleford and Shelford are already served by the DNA cycle path.

Further a busway that goes past rather than through the villages of (for example) Shelford and (on the uphill side and accessed really by car) does little to serve them and is demonstrably unlikely to be used by their residents in any material way but will cause much to damage their amenity, set in countryside. This has been the view

throughout of their parish councils, views which appear to have been afforded no weight in the planning process.

Stuart Newman



This email has originated from external sources and has been scanned by DfT's email scanning service.
