

[REDACTED]

From: Mike Redshaw <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 04 March 2025 15:40
To: TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE
Subject: RE: Objection to Transport and Work Act Order to the Department of Transport (DfT) for a new busway to the south-east of Cambridge.

Dear Shenaz,

Apologies for my oversight.

My postal address is:

[REDACTED]

Kind regards,

Mike Redshaw

On 4 Mar 2025 11:55, TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE <TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE@dft.gov.uk> wrote:

OFFICIAL

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your email citing your objection to the above scheme. In order to register your objection, we require a postal address?

Kind regards

Shenaz Choudhary

Ms Shenaz Choudhary | Planning Casework Officer, Transport Infrastructure Planning Unit, Department for Transport 1/14 | 07971 146036 |

OFFICIAL

From: Mike Redshaw <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 02 March 2025 19:32

To: TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE <TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE@dft.gov.uk>

Subject: Objection to Transport and Work Act Order to the Department of Transport (DfT) for a new busway to the south-east of Cambridge.

I am writing to object to Cambridgeshire County Council's proposal to construct a new busway through open countryside. I am a resident of Sawston village, which lies midway between the Cambridge Biomedical Campus and a proposed new park & ride development at Abington.

I support the need for a busway to reduce congestion on the A1307 but the proposed scheme is excessively expensive and will do irreversible harm to the local landscape and nature. A better option would be to create a new busway alongside the A1307 with a spur road (busway) into the Biomedical Campus, which is estimated to cost £100 million less than the current proposed development.

The argument that the proposed development would benefit the villages of Sawston, Stapleford and Great Shelford is not credible. The proposed route skirts the edge of these villages and is not conveniently located for the vast majority of residents. In addition, two very large bridges are required to span the River Granta, which would be both unsightly and expensive.

I urge you to reconsider this proposal and set up a public inquiry to consider alternative solutions. The objectives can be achieved without destroying the countryside and at a considerably lower cost. Thank you for your attention to this.

Yours sincerely,

Michael Redshaw

This email has originated from external sources and has been scanned by DfT's email scanning service.

The information in this email may be confidential or otherwise protected by law. If you received it in error, please let us know by return e-mail and then delete it immediately, without printing or passing it on to anybody else.

Incoming and outgoing e-mail messages are routinely monitored for compliance with our policy on the use of electronic communications and for other lawful purposes.

This email has originated from external sources and has been scanned by DfT's email scanning service.
