From: [redacted]
To: Joanna Vincent
Subject: Ellenbrook fields quarry Objection
Date: 03 March 2026 15:59:28

Hi Joanne,

I'm writing to voice my great concern over the second enquiry we are having to face in regards to the proposed Brett aggregates quarry at Ellenbrook.

As a local business owner running a dog walking company this will absolutely destroy pretty much the only safe place in the local area to safely walk up to 6 dogs. The woods are not always suitable as the risk of losing dogs between trees etc is higher. Ellenrbook being open and with so many different paths, gives enough space to avoid other walkers and keep sight of dogs at all times.

The proposed paths in their plan would put the safety of any dogs using the park at risk, to think that they would not be able to potentially climb a small bank on to a main road is, frankly, ridiculous. This would apply to people walking their own dogs as well as professional dog walkers. I know of at least 7 other professional dog walks who use Ellenrbook daily for business purposes. We may all have nowhere to walk dogs now.

With vehicles, machinery and paths being close to roads, it would render the park completely useless for my dog walking purposes. I would struggle to find any where else safe to walk my dogs which will very much affect my business, and the many other dog walking companies that all use the park to walk in several times daily. The paths are currently already mud slides, to further reduce the amount of area to walk and concentrating these to a small number of paths would make them incredibly dangerous and unsafe.

To be honest I feel that Brett would prefer this, they do not care even slightly that no one would want to walk in a disgustingly fenced park in a quarry with high levels of noise and pollution, and I also feel they have kept pushing and pushing at every hurdle, in the hopes that the general public will run out of steam to keep opposing this again and again and again. There has been a great deal of work done on behalf of all residents and we are facing an enormous company with enormous levels of funding that even the council could no longer afford to object to , even though it rejected plans initially.

I understand this will probably have no bearing on your decision, but I feel businesses no longer have any morals whatsoever and that they are just going to be continually allowed to pillage, destroy habitats, displace wildlife and reduce one of the most beautiful places I have ever walked in, to a place that will become an eyesore, a health risk and be completely pointless to walk in after having taken a look at their proposed routes and paths.

And that's if they actually honour this which I would have sincere doubts they would. I would also have sincere doubts that any authority would care if they didn't honour this. They are pretty much allowed to go around destroying what they'd like to, for the sake of money and some gravel. I understand housing is apparently at a shortage despite all the new builds that have already destroyed our green belt land that seem to be left unsold and no one wants to move in to! Surely there are some things worth saving or is everything just a case of money and 'build, build, build'?

If this goes ahead I would be looking to sell my property and move from the local area, as there is just nothing left to stay here for. If I wanted to live in a concrete jungle I'd have moved to London and if I wanted to walk in a quarry i would walk in the many other local quarry's that have already been running for years. Being able to walk freely in such a beautiful area has been the highlight of my working day since I set up my business. The park will be complete pointless and not be able to be enjoyed in almost any capacity, other than walking down a dirt track next to a fence or a main road. You can currently walk for hours without retracing steps or nearing a road. This will be a memory of the past.

This will destroy any faith I have left in people making the decisions that they know are right and protecting what should have been a conservation are and national park had initial obligations been fulfilled.

I apologise for the emotional aspect of my email, but I would not have had any respect for myself looking back if I did not even attempt to out across what a moral travesty this is in all respects.

Kind regards,
Emily Jackson

[redacted]

Sent from my iPhone