

From: [REDACTED]
To: [TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE](#)
Subject: Cambourne to Cambridge Transport & Works Act for new busway application
Date: 07 December 2024 13:45:48

Dear Transport Infrastructure Team,

I am writing to object to the above busway application. I am a local resident and am responding as such. My address is at the bottom of this email.

My reasons for objection:

1. The route should be put onto existing roads to ensure:

1.1 Full protection of Coton Orchard (one of the few remaining orchards in Cambridgeshire, a county largely devoid of trees) and

1.2 Full protection of existing established countryside by it not being ploughed up and lost forever to tarmac, with consequential loss of biodiversity and habitat including established wildlife travel corridors, and

1.3 Avoidance of the insidious loss of rural countryside caused by creep of urban development which would undoubtedly follow a new tarmac busway being built through rural fields.

2. Any cycling/walking infrastructure included as part of this route must also include horseriders. This does not need redesign of such path surfaces or widths. All that is required is the designation "for horseriders, cyclists, walkers" with the appropriate signage (showing a Horserider, Cyclist and Walker) rather than the Cyclist and Walker sign.

This area has many horseriders, mainly women, who are as vulnerable on the roads as the cyclists (mainly men) and walkers. Horses are kept both in Cambridge city and in the outlying village.

Horseriders are recognised as equally vulnerable road users as cyclists in the Highway Code. Horseriders, cyclists and walkers have shared Public Bridleways for many decades so there is every reason to include horseriders as vulnerable road users in all new vulnerable road user provision.

I hope my points will be heard and taken seriously.

Yours sincerely,

Susan Rogers
[REDACTED]

This email has originated from external sources and has been scanned by DfT's email scanning service.
