

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Joanna Vincent](#)
Subject: Re appeal reference: APP/M1900/W/24/3346607
Date: 14 March 2026 18:13:32

Dear Joanna

Re: appeal reference: APP/M1900/W/24/3346607

We live at [REDACTED]. This is half a kilometre from the proposed mineral extraction site by Brett Aggregates Ltd, on the land at Hatfield Aerodrome, off Hatfield Road, Hatfield, Hertfordshire.

I understand the Inspector is interested in local resident's objections to the public access strategy proposed for the quarry.

Having read the new plans and listened to information provided by our local Ellenbrook Area Residents Association, I am extremely concerned and disappointed as the new plans clearly do not provide safe, cross park access nor do they address previous concerns raised by the Planning Inspector.

I have many concerns, but I feel my main concern is safety for public health and wellbeing for anyone using the pathways. To start with, I feel it is a contradiction to even suggest the access made available is safe. It is 100% not safe to walk through an area where you are constantly breathing in 'dirty air'. The air will be polluted, it will be full of dust and dirt, a huge public health issue and a huge problem, but even worse, people will be breathing in silica! This is tantamount to abuse and I would say, a criminal offence. To deliberately call a pathway safe access for members of the public, when it truly isn't safe for health is a lie and shows no regard for people's lives and wellbeing. The noise levels will be devastatingly unpleasant at the very least, uncomfortable for many, inducing hearing loss and headaches, dangerous for young children and babies and extremely disturbing for the many dogs who are regularly walked in this country park. Our own dog will no longer be able to walk this area; the noise will cause him to shake and tremble and create anxiety.

Apart from air pollution and noise, adults, children and dogs will be walking closely to the plant site, heavy machinery, and huge lorries. It will be dangerous. It will also be extremely unsightly. This is not how a community park should look and feel. The paths will be narrow and in winter and during rainy periods, unsafe to walk along.

Brett claim that 85% of the park will remain accessible. However, I cannot see from their own plans how this is true. I am afraid the park will be unsafe all year round and unusable during many periods of winter and bad weather, for the next 30-40 years. I

also dispute Brett's plans to include timely integration of restored areas. This seems impossible from their plans due to the location of lagoons, plant sites, work areas, all creating barriers.

Brett's proposed strategy is not safe and it is not workable. They must think the public, council members and Inspectors, and the Secretary of State are fools to buy into this false promise.

Please sincerely log our objections.

Yours sincerely

Jacqui and Duncan Green

Sent from [Outlook for Android](#)