

From: [REDACTED]
To: [TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE](#)
Subject: Re: Objections to construction traffic on Mill Lane during Stalybridge to Diggle scheme
Date: 02 January 2026 12:25:29

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

Please find below my address:

Lathifa Chowdhury
[REDACTED]

Lathifa

On Fri, 2 Jan 2026 at 12:23, TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE
<TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE@dft.gov.uk> wrote:

OFFICIAL

Dear Sir/Madam,

Thank you for your email citing your objection to the above scheme. In order to register your objection we require a postal address.

Kind regards

Shenaz Choudhary

OFFICIAL

From: Lathifa Chowdhury [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 December 2025 22:26
To: TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE <TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE@dft.gov.uk>
Subject: Objections to construction traffic on Mill Lane during Stalybridge to Diggle scheme

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear Network Rail,

I am writing to object to your proposals to use Mill Lane for construction traffic during the proposed upgrade of Mossley Station. I am a concerned parent and also School Governor at Milton St Johns Primary School.

As you will be aware, our school is on Mill Lane and our foremost concern is the safety of the children should heavy vehicles be frequenting this road. Mill Lane itself poses several challenges; it is steep, narrow and becomes one way at its lower end, with a low bridge which restricts access. If large, heavy vehicles are using this road, this would pose a significant risk to the safety of children, families, staff and residents.

In addition to the obvious dangers, there are several other reasons why Mill Lane should not be considered:

- **Safeguarding:** Bringing heavy construction traffic onto the road directly outside school contradicts the duty of care owed to pupils.
- Our school is located directly off Mill Lane, meaning any increase in heavy vehicle movements would coincide with the busiest times of day for children walking, cycling, and being dropped off.
- Mill lane is a steep narrow road, and its lower section becomes one way and cannot safely accommodate larger vehicles because of the low bridge. Additionally, at the top of Mill Lane there are blind spots when cars are meant to stop and give way. Cars have to edge forward at it's steepest part to get onto Carrhill Lane. This is already a dangerous area for our children when trying to cross the road. These physical constraints make it impossible for construction traffic to use the road safely without creating significant risks.
- **Emergency access:** Construction traffic could obstruct or delay emergency vehicles accessing the school or nearby homes.
- **No safe passing space:** The width of the road means large vehicles could struggle to navigate without mounting pavements or reversing—both unacceptable near a school.
- **Existing congestion:** Mill Lane is already heavily congested during school drop-off and pick-up times. It cannot safely accommodate additional traffic.
- **Impact on children's health:** Increased noise and reduced air quality from heavy vehicles would affect classrooms and outdoor areas.
- **Disruption to walking routes:** Mill Lane forms part of the main safe walking route used by pupils. Construction activity would compromise this.
- **Safer alternatives exist:** Access from Manchester Road is a safer alternative.

I hope you will agree that Mill Lane should not be used for construction traffic, and that an alternative such as Manchester Road is used instead.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Lathifa Chowdhury