

From: [REDACTED]
To: [TRANSPORTINFRASTRUCTURE](#)
Subject: Network Rail Stalybridge to Diggle TWA order
Date: 16 December 2025 19:18:50

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

>

> Dear sir.

> I hereby want to confirm that I wholly , fundamentally and unconditionally object to the proposals to access the TWAO works via Mill lane / Archer st. et al. for the construction of a new East bound platform on the new train station in Mossley.

>

> I would like to make it clear that I am not against the improvements to the line and welcome the new facilities. I also understand that there will be some disruption in the area surrounding the new station site.

>

> My house is [REDACTED] , within the TWA red line boundary , runs along the gable end of our row. Which would deny us access to that side of our properties

> It's pedestrian access only on both sides which means myself and my neighbours have no alternative but to park on Mill lane.

> Which is already overcrowded as Archer St residents also have to use it for parking as their street is overcrowded too.

>

> What is being proposed is going to make dangerous and overcrowded roads even worse. Mill lane is extremely steep and narrow and cars and vans have to drive on the footpath just to pass each other.

> THIS HAPPENS EVERY DAY, especially around School drop off times when children are actually on the footpaths. Its especially concerning as it's the only access to the school.

>

> The residents in this area we have not been given specific details of the work to be carried out on Brookfields , other than the plans for a new East bound platform. But they have said they need the whole of the Brookfields site which is far bigger than the platform.

> So why is the rest of the site necessary to the planned work ?

> Or do they have a use for it that they have not divulged due to the threat of even more opposition ?

>

> When questioned they say they need the acquisition of Archer st. for access of a small digger and staff on foot. Which would mean taking dozens of parking places outside the Archer St. houses and mill lane.

> Where would these displaced residents park ?

> There is no available alternative.

>

> Also all plant and equipment would have to come down Mill ,due to the low railway bridge and bend at the bottom , which is extremely unsafe.

> TMBC put a sign at the top of Mill lane ,

> UNSUITABLE FOR LARGE VEHICLES ,

> when it was needed for a diversion route.

>

> These measures were not necessary recently when they took a drilling rig on site with a caterpillar driven vehicle and numerous staff.

> Why is it necessary now if that is all they're planning to do ?

> Or is there more planned that we haven't been told yet ?

> Diane Muir

> [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]